New Publications
A special edition of Rivista di filosofia del diritto includes:

- Carlo Umiltà, ‘Limits of Cognitive Neuroscience (Why It Would Take a Much More Advanced Cognitive Neuroscience in order to Have a Sensible Neuro-law)’, which questions the very idea of “Neurolaw” because neuroscience is not yet well enough developed for there to be a meaningful “cross-fertilization”.

- Michael Pardo, Dennis Patterson, & Sofia Moratti, ‘The Gathering of and Use of Neuroscientific Evidence in Criminal Trials in the United States. Compatibility with the 4th and 5th Amendments and with Due Process’, discusses how the use of neuroscience could and should be used in relation to criminal suspects and defendants in the USA.


Other new publications include:

- Gerben Meynen, ‘Neurolaw: Neuroscience, Ethics, and Law. Review Essay’ considers neurolaw overall as a discipline, looking at some other publications and trying to categorize what neurolaw looks at.

- Georgia-Martha Gkotsi, V Moulin, & J Gasser, ‘Neuroscience in the Courtroom: From Responsibility to Dangerousness, Ethical Issues Raised by the New French Law’, is a French language article considering the use of neuroscience in the courtroom, concluding that there is not enough protection in place for individual freedom and civil liberties. Whilst in English, John B Meixner reviews the use of neuroscience in legal cases and recommends future directions for the area, calling for increased personalization of laboratory studies.